UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,063	10/06/2000	Tsunetake Noma	202708US6	2851	
22850 7590 10/02/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LEE, PHILIP C		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2152		
•					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/02/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	09/684,063	NOMA, TSUNETAKE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip C. Lee	2152			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the provision of the maximum statutory perion of the provision of	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 July 2007</u> .				
, <del>_</del>	·				
• **	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2152

1. This action is responsive to the amendment and remarks filed on July 2, 2007.

- 2. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC 101

4. Claims 1, 6, 12, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because "An apparatus" comprising: means or units (i.e., considered as software) does not include any functional structure of an apparatus (i.e., hardware structure of an apparatus). An apparatus comprising software is considered as program per se, which is not one of the categories of statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC 103

5. Claims 1-5, 8 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agraharam et al, U.S. Patent 5,956,482 (hereinafter Agraharam) in view of Porter et al, U.S. Patent 6,675,299 (hereinafter Porter) and further in view of Kakuta et al, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0093474 (hereinafter Kakuta).

Art Unit: 2152

6. Agraharam and Porter were cited in the last office action.

7. As per claim 1, 5 and 12, Agraharam taught the invention substantially as claimed for providing a service to a plurality of information processing apparatuses, the service providing apparatus functioning as a shared server on a network, comprising:

storage means for storing a group (e.g. participation list predetermined code) (page 3, paragraphs 36 and 37), and content (page 1, paragraph 15); reception means for receiving a group request to include a corresponding one of the plurality of information processing apparatuses in one of the plurality of groups (e.g. participation list) (page 3, paragraphs 27 and 36), the group request selecting a respective one of the plurality of groups (page 3, paragraph 29), the group request transmitted from any of the information processing apparatuses belonging to one of the plurality of groups (page 3, paragraphs 27 and 36), and for receiving a content request (e.g. specifies the multimedia document) transmitting from any of the information processing apparatuses belonging to one of the plurality of groups, the content request including a selection of available content (page 3, paragraphs 28 and 34); acquisition means for acquiring data coordinated with the content request (page 1. paragraph 16) and communication means for transmitting the data acquired by said acquisition means simultaneously (page 1, paragraph 16) to all of those of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server and belonging to a same group (e.g. session audience) (page 2, paragraph 19; page 3, paragraphs 27 and 29).

- 8. Agraharam did not specifically teach storing a plurality of groups and a list of available content. Porter taught a shared file management system for storing a plurality of groups (col. 8, lines 21-31; col. 10, lines 33-48) and a list of available content (col. 11, lines 4-9, 26-32; col. 11, line 66-col. 12, line 6). Furthermore, Porter taught a selection from a list of available content (col. 9, line 62-col. 10, line 9).
- 9. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Agraharam and Porter because Porter's teaching of storing a plurality groups would increase the utilization of the shared server to provide services to multiple groups.

(Note that Agraharam's system must store a plurality of groups in order to provide services to multiple session audiences.)

- 10. Agraharam and Porter did not teach transmitting a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the server. Kakuta teaches means for transmitting a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server and belonging to the same group to each of the information processing apparatuses in the same group ([0069], [0108], [0111]).
- 11. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta because

Art Unit: 2152

Kakuta's teaching of transmitting a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server would increase the user alertness in Agraharam's and Porter's systems by providing a list of available users for communication.

- 12. As per claim 2, Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1 above. Agraharam further taught wherein the data is music data (page 1, paragraph 15), and the selection is particular music data (page 2, paragraph 17; page 3, paragraphs 34 and 35).
- 13. As per claim 3, Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1 above. Agraharam further taught comprising transmission means for receiving text data transmitted from any of the information processing apparatuses accessing the shared server and transmitting the text data to the least one other information processing apparatus accessing the shared server and belonging to the same group (page 3, paragraph 30).
- 14. As per claim 4, Agraharam taught the invention substantially as claimed for a service providing apparatus for providing a service to a plurality of information processing apparatuses accessing a single shared server on a network, comprising:
  - a storage controlling step of controlling a group (page 3, paragraphs 36 and 37), and content (page 1, paragraph 15);
  - a reception step of receiving a group request to include a corresponding one of the plurality of information processing apparatuses in one of the plurality of groups (e.g.

Application/Control Number: 09/684,063

3, paragraphs 27 and 28);

Art Unit: 2152

participation list) (page 3, paragraphs 27 and 36), the group request selecting a respective one of the plurality of groups (page 3, paragraph 29), and for receiving a content request (e.g. specifies the multimedia document) transmitting from any of the information processing apparatuses belonging to one of the plurality of groups, the content request including a selection of available content (page 3, paragraphs 28 and 34), the group request and the content request received by the single shared server (BWS Center) (page

Page 6

an acquisition step of acquiring data coordinated with the content request (page 1, paragraph 16) to the single shared server (page 2, paragraph 19; page 3, paragraph 28); and

a communication step of transmitting the data acquired by said acquisition step simultaneously from the single shared server (page 1, paragraph 16) to all of those of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the single shared server and belonging to a same group (e.g. session audience) (page 2, paragraph 19; page 3, paragraphs 27 and 29).

15. Agraharam did not specifically teach storage controlling a plurality of groups and a list of available content. Porter taught a shared file management system for storage controlling a plurality of groups (col. 8, lines 21-31; col. 10, lines 33-48) and a list of available content (col. 11, lines 4-9, 26-32; col. 11, line 66-col. 12, line 6). Furthermore, Porter taught a selection from a list of available content (col. 9, line 62-col. 10, line 9).

Art Unit: 2152

16. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Agraharam and Porter because Porter's teaching of storage controlling a plurality groups would increase the utilization of the shared server to provide services to multiple groups.

(Note that Agraharam's system must store and control a plurality of groups in order to provide services to multiple session audiences.)

- 17. Agraharam and Porter did not teach transmitting a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the server. Kakuta teaches a transmission step of transmitting a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server and belonging to the same group to each of the information processing apparatuses in the same group ([0069], [0108], [0111]).
- 18. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta because Kakuta's teaching of transmitting a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server would increase the user alertness in Agraharam's and Porter's systems by providing a list of available users for communication.
- 19. As per claim 8, Agraharam taught the invention substantially as claimed for an information processing apparatus for accessing a service providing apparatus functioning as a

Art Unit: 2152

single shared server, which provides services on a network, together with other information processing apparatuses, comprising:

an inputting step of inputting access information for accessing a single shared server of the service providing apparatus (BWS Center 100, fig. 1) to select a group (page 3, paragraph 37);

a display controlling step of controlling display of available contents transmitted from the single shared server of the service providing apparatus (page 2, paragraphs 20 and 21); a requesting step of selecting content from the available content and requesting the single shared server of the service providing apparatus for transmission of the selected content to said information processing apparatus belonging to one of the plurality of groups and all the other information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server belonging to the same group (page 3, paragraphs 27, 29, 34 and 36); reception step of receiving data transmitted from the single shared server of the service providing apparatus (e.g. BWS Center) to all of the information processing apparatuses belonging the same group (e.g. session audiences) (page 2, paragraphs 19 and 21); and reproduction step of reproducing the data (page 2, paragraph 19).

20. Agraharam did not specifically teach a plurality of groups and a list of available content. Porter taught a shared file management system for servicing a plurality of groups (col. 8, lines 21-31; col. 10, lines 33-48) and a list of available content (col. 11, lines 4-9, 26-32; col. 11, line 66-col. 12, line 6). Furthermore, Porter taught controlling display of a list of available content (col. 9, line 62-col. 10, line 9).

Application/Control Number: 09/684,063

Art Unit: 2152

21. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Agraharam and Porter because Porter's teaching of a plurality groups would increase the utilization of the shared server to provide services to

Page 9

multiple groups.

(Note that Agraharam's system must store a plurality of groups in order to provide services to multiple session audiences.)

- 22. Agraharam and Porter did not teach a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the server. Kakuta teaches a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server and belonging to the same group to each of the information processing apparatuses in the same group ([0069], [0108], [0111]); and a display step of displaying the list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server and belonging to the same group ([0108], [0111]).
- 23. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta because Kakuta's teaching of a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server would increase the user alertness in Agraharam's and Porter's systems by providing a list of available users for communication.

Art Unit: 2152

24. As per claim 13, Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 12 above. Agraharam further taught wherein the data is music data (page 1, paragraph 15), and the selection is particular music data (page 2, paragraph 18).

- 25. As per claim 14, Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 12 above. Agraharam further taught a transmission unit configured to receive text data transmitted from any of the information processing apparatuses accessing the shared server and configured to transmit the text data to the at least one other information processing apparatus accessing the shared server and belonging to the same group (page 3, paragraph 30).
- 26. Claims 6,7, 9-11 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta in view of Erdelyi, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0056879 (hereinafter Erdelyi).
- 27. Erdelyi was cited in the previous office action.
- 28. As per claims 6, 9 and 15, Agraharam taught the invention substantially as claimed for accessing a service providing apparatus functioning as a shared server, which provides services on a network, together with other information processing apparatuses, comprising:

inputting means for inputting access information for accessing the service providing apparatus to a group (page 3, paragraph 37);

Art Unit: 2152

display control means for controlling display of available contents transmitted from the service providing apparatus (page 2, paragraphs 20 and 21); requesting means for selecting content from among the available content and requesting the service providing apparatus for transmission of the selected content to said information processing apparatus belonging to one of the plurality of groups and the other information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server belonging to the same group (page 3, paragraphs 27, 29, 34 and 36); reception means for receiving data transmitted from the service providing apparatus (e.g. BWS Center) to all of the information processing apparatuses belonging the same group (e.g. session audiences) (page 2, paragraphs 19 and 21); and reproduction means for reproducing the data (page 2, paragraph 19).

- Agraharam did not specifically teach a plurality of groups and a list of available content. Porter taught a shared file management system for servicing a plurality of groups (col. 8, lines 21-31; col. 10, lines 33-48) and a list of available content (col. 11, lines 4-9, 26-32; col. 11, line 66-col. 12, line 6). Furthermore, Porter taught controlling display of a list of available content (col. 9, line 62-col. 10, line 9).
- 30. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Agraharam and Porter because Porter's teaching of a plurality groups would increase the utilization of the shared server to provide services to multiple groups.

Art Unit: 2152

(Note that Agraharam's system must store a plurality of groups in order to provide services to multiple session audiences.)

- Agraharam and Porter did not teach a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the server. Kakuta teaches a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server and belonging to the same group to each of the information processing apparatuses in the same group ([0069], [0108], [0111]); and display means for displaying the list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server and belonging to the same group ([0108], [0111]).
- 32. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta because Kakuta's teaching of a list of all of the information processing apparatuses currently accessing the shared server would increase the user alertness in Agraharam's and Porter's systems by providing a list of available users for communication.
- 33. Agraharam, Porter and Kakuta did not teach specifically teach media player. Erdelyi taught inputting access information into a single window of a media player configured to access a service (page 3, paragraphs 54-57); controlling display of available content in the single window of the media player (fig. 4A; page 4, paragraph 59); selecting content from the available content in the single window of the media player (page 4, paragraph 59) and transmission of the

Art Unit: 2152

selected content by activating a command in the single window of the media player (page 4, paragraphs 67; page 5, paragraph 80).

- 34. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Agraharam, Porter, Kakuta and Erdelyi because Erdelyi's teaching of a single window of a media player would enhance and make it easier for users in Agraharam's, Porter's and Kakuta's systems to input and to select information/contents utilizing the graphical interface.
- As per claim 7, Agraharam, Porter, Kakuta and Erdelyi taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 6 above. Porter further taught wherein the list of available content is a table listing available music data (col. 7, lines 32-37) (i.e., the list of files may be audio data) provided from the service providing apparatus (col. 11, lines 4-9, 26-32; col. 11, line 66-col. 12, line 6), and the data is particular music data corresponding to the selected content (col. 9, line 62-col. 10, line 9; col. 11, lines 4-9; col. 11, line 66-col. 12, line 6).
- 36. As per claims 10, 11 and 17, Agraharam, Porter, Kakuta and Erdelyi taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 6, 9 and 15 above. Erdelyi further taught activating a command by activating a play button in the single window of the media player (page 5, paragraphs 80 and 81).

Art Unit: 2152

37. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Agraharam, Porter, Kakuta and Erdelyi for the

same reason as set forth in claims 6, 9 and 15 above.

38. As per claim 16, Agraharam, Porter, Kakuta and Erdelyi taught the invention

substantially as claimed in claim 15 above. Porter further taught wherein the list of available

content is a table listing available music data provided from the server providing apparatus, and

the data is particular music data corresponding to the selected content (col. 11, lines 4-9; col. 11,

line 66-col. 12, line 6; col. 7, lines 32-37).

**CONCLUSION** 

39. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Aggarwal et al, US 5,943,478

40. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17, filed 7/2/07, have been fully

considered but they are moot in view of new ground of rejection(s).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory

Application/Control Number: 09/684,063

Art Unit: 2152

period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-3967. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM TO 5:30 PM Monday to Thursday and every other Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P.L.

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

9/27/7

Page 15